

# CORPORATE PERFORMANCE REPORT 2024/25

## QUARTER 2

### 4.0 Overview and Scrutiny Comments

- 4.2 The Panel discussed the Corporate Performance Report 2024/25 Quarter 2 Report at its meeting on 6th November 2024.
- 4.3 Councillor Jennings expressed concern relating to the achievability of PI21, following which the Panel were assured that the Operations team were confident that this target could be met and strict targets had been put in place to assist this. It was further confirmed that the missed bins were a mixture of the three bin types and that there wasn't a pattern to the issue.
- 4.4 Following a question from Councillor Martin about PI24, the Panel heard that two fly tipping prosecution cases were adjourned and that the reasons for this would be investigated and reported back to the Panel. In response to a further question from Councillor Martin, the Panel heard that the long term sickness figures shown in the report were not unrealistic for a large organisation with a diverse range of services and a workforce with multiple needs. The Panel were further assured that a robust sickness procedure was in place to manage this and could culminate in dismissal as a final stage. It was observed that commentary within the report to highlight the number of serious long term illness cases would be useful to allow the Panel to understand the picture of those cases which were of concern and those which could be approached with empathy.
- 4.5 Councillor Howell observed that there were discrepancies on the totals within the graph in PI19, the Panel heard that clarification would be sought and brought back to the Panel.
- 4.6 Councillor Gardener observed that the Electrical Vehicle Charging project did not appear to be moving as quickly as had been originally communicated to residents. The Panel were assured that work was underway and detail about timescales would be sought and communicated back to the Panel.
- 4.7 Following a query from Councillor Chapman, the Panel heard that point 31 on page 47 of the agenda, related to all work within the Market Towns Programme, it was also noted that an update on the Market Towns Programme was expected at the December meeting of the Panel. In response to a further question from Councillor Chapman, relating to the removal of trees along the Riverside Park cycleways under item 57, the Panel heard that further details would be sought and brought back to the Panel.

4.8 Following the discussion, the Panel were informed that their comments would be added to the Cabinet report in order for an informed decision to be made on the report recommendations.